


Preparing for Paper #3

Learning to Revise by Re-Seeing Your Writing



Paper #3 Instructions

Paper #3 Instructions

You will prepare for this next assignment in 2 ways:

Paper #3 Instructions

You will prepare for this next assignment in 2 ways:

1. Collaboratively → as a team, through our class reading assignments and discussions

Paper #3 Instructions

You will prepare for this next assignment in 2 ways:

1. Collaboratively → as a team, through our class reading assignments and discussions
2. Individually → through your own preparation, meta-reflection, and revision

Paper #3 Instructions

You will prepare for this next assignment in 2 ways:

1. Collaboratively → as a team, through our class reading assignments and discussions
2. Individually → through your own preparation, meta-reflection, and revision

TO BEGIN:

**Navigate to Canvas →
Assignments**

Paper #3 Instructions

You will prepare for this next assignment in 2 ways:

1. Collaboratively → as a team, through our class reading assignments and discussions
2. Individually → through your own preparation, meta-reflection, and revision

TO BEGIN:
Navigate to Canvas →
Assignments

Assignments

Search for Assignment

▸ ALM

▸ Class Meetings

▸ Essays

▸ Guided reading assignments

▸ Online discussions

▸ Class attendance and participation

Paper #3 Instructions

Search for Assignment

ALM	
	<p><u>Paper #3</u> Not available until Oct 27 at 3:00pm Due Nov 8 at 11:59pm</p>
	<p><u>Paper #4</u> Not available until Nov 11 at 12:00am Due Nov 22 at 11:59pm</p>
	<p><u>Paper #5</u> Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am Due Dec 16 at 11:59pm</p>
	<p><u>[DRAFT SUBMISSION] Paper #5</u> Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am Due Dec 4 at 11:59pm</p>
	<p><u>[PEER REVIEW] Paper #5</u> Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm</p>
	<p><u>[11/2-11/6] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing</u> Available until Nov 6 at 11:59pm Due Nov 6 at 11:59pm</p>
	<p><u>[12/7-12/11] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing</u> Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm</p>

 **Class Meetings**

Paper #3 Instructions

Assignments → ALM →
Paper #3

Search for Assignment

ALM



Paper #3

Not available until Oct 27 at 3:00pm | Due Nov 8 at 11:59pm



Paper #4

Not available until Nov 11 at 12:00am | Due Nov 22 at 11:59pm



Paper #5

Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 16 at 11:59pm



[DRAFT SUBMISSION] Paper #5

Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 4 at 11:59pm



[PEER REVIEW] Paper #5

Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm



[11/2-11/6] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing

Available until Nov 6 at 11:59pm | Due Nov 6 at 11:59pm



[12/7-12/11] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing

Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm



Class Meetings

Paper #3 Instructions

Assignments → ALM →
Paper #3

1. Expand ALM assignments tab

Search for Assignment

The screenshot shows a course management interface with a search bar at the top. Below it, the 'ALM' tab is expanded, showing a list of assignments. The 'Paper #3' assignment is highlighted with a red circle. Below the ALM tab, the 'Class Meetings' tab is partially visible.

Assignment	Availability	Due Date
Paper #3	Not available until Oct 27 at 3:00pm	Due Nov 8 at 11:59pm
Paper #4	Not available until Nov 11 at 12:00am	Due Nov 22 at 11:59pm
Paper #5	Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am	Due Dec 16 at 11:59pm
[DRAFT SUBMISSION] Paper #5	Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am	Due Dec 4 at 11:59pm
[PEER REVIEW] Paper #5	Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am	Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm
[11/2-11/6] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing	Available until Nov 6 at 11:59pm	Due Nov 6 at 11:59pm
[12/7-12/11] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing	Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am	Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm

Paper #3 Instructions

Assignments → ALM →
Paper #3

1. Expand ALM assignments tab
2. This is where you'll find the remainder of the assignments for the rest of the course here on out

Search for Assignment

☰ ▼ ALM

- ☰  **Paper #3**
Not available until Oct 27 at 3:00pm | Due Nov 8 at 11:59pm
- ☰  **Paper #4**
Not available until Nov 11 at 12:00am | Due Nov 22 at 11:59pm
- ☰  **Paper #5**
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 16 at 11:59pm
- ☰  **[DRAFT SUBMISSION] Paper #5**
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 4 at 11:59pm
- ☰  **[PEER REVIEW] Paper #5**
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm
- ☰  **[11/2-11/6] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing**
Available until Nov 6 at 11:59pm | Due Nov 6 at 11:59pm
- ☰  **[12/7-12/11] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing**
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm

☰ ▼ Class Meetings

Paper #3 Instructions

Assignments → ALM →
Paper #3

1. Expand ALM assignments tab
2. This is where you'll find the remainder of the assignments for the rest of the course here on out
3. The Paper #3 instructions are now live, so you can click on them to review them in your own time

Search for Assignment

☰ ▾ ALM

- ☰ 📄 Paper #3
Not available until Oct 27 at 3:00pm | Due Nov 8 at 11:59pm
- ☰ 📄 Paper #4
Not available until Nov 11 at 12:00am | Due Nov 22 at 11:59pm
- ☰ 📄 Paper #5
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 16 at 11:59pm
- ☰ 📄 [DRAFT SUBMISSION] Paper #5
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 4 at 11:59pm
- ☰ 📄 [PEER REVIEW] Paper #5
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm
- ☰ 📄 [11/2-11/6] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing
Available until Nov 6 at 11:59pm | Due Nov 6 at 11:59pm
- ☰ 📄 [12/7-12/11] Prep instructions for one-on-one conferencing
Not available until Nov 23 at 12:00am | Due Dec 11 at 11:59pm

☰ ▾ Class Meetings

Paper #3 Instructions

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

1. Foreground a thesis

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

1. Foreground a thesis
2. Support central thesis with examples from primary text, Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

1. Foreground a thesis
2. Support central thesis with examples from primary text, Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*
3. Create credibility and trust in your writing moves:

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

1. Foreground a thesis
2. Support central thesis with examples from primary text, Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*
3. Create credibility and trust in your writing moves:
 - a) Situating your writing in response to others' writing ("they say")

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

1. Foreground a thesis
2. Support central thesis with examples from primary text, Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*
3. Create credibility and trust in your writing moves:
 - a) Situating your writing in response to others' writing ("they say")
 - b) Quotation sandwich

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (*Theogony* 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

1. Foreground a thesis
2. Support central thesis with examples from primary text, Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*
3. Create credibility and trust in your writing moves:
 - a) Situating your writing in response to others' writing ("they say")
 - b) Quotation sandwich
 - c) Metacommentary

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (*Theogony* 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

1. Foreground a thesis
2. Support central thesis with examples from primary text, Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*
3. Create credibility and trust in your writing moves:
 - a) Situating your writing in response to others' writing ("they say")
 - b) Quotation sandwich
 - c) Metacommentary
 - d) Inserting a Naysayer

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas.* You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (*Theogony* 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

4. Citations and Works Cited page

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondt, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

4. Citations and Works Cited page

5. 4-5 pages, double-spaced

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondt, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (*Theogony* 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

4. Citations and Works Cited page

5. 4-5 pages, double-spaced

a) 12-point TNR font

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

4. Citations and Works Cited page

5. 4-5 pages, double-spaced

a) 12-point TNR font

b) 1" margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mondi, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's Theogony"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (Theogony 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

We will go over more detailed instructions on TH 10/29

4. Citations and Works Cited page
5. 4-5 pages, double-spaced
 - a) 12-point TNR font
 - b) 1" margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)
6. Pick 1 critical source to engage with as your "they say" engagement

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

A successful revision will do the following:

1. Foreground a coherent thesis, with one sentence in the first paragraph that states the argument clearly and succinctly (i.e., signposting).
2. Support the central thesis in subsequent paragraphs by providing examples from the primary text(s) Hesiod's *Theogony* and/or *Work and Days*.
3. Create a sense of credibility and critical engagement for your reading. To do so successfully, you will need to integrate the following tips from *They Say, I Say* throughout your essay:
 - A clear idea of what you say about the text in comparison to what they say (another critic/scholar).
 - Quotation sandwiches.
 - Meta-commentary.
 - Inserting a naysayer into your commentary/analysis.
4. Use parenthetical citations throughout essay citing page and/or line numbers, with a Works Cited page included at the end of the document.
5. Use the following formatting conventions:
 - 12-point Times New Roman font
 - 4-5 pages double-spaced
 - 1-inch margins all around (I'll notice if they're not)

Since this essay is a revision, you'll need to rethink, or perform a RE-VISION (that is, *a new vision*) of the essay and its goals. To do so, you will need to also:

5. Pick 1 critical source from the syllabus that you've already read and discussed (see below for a comprehensive list of your choices).
 - Remember: *It's your paper, and these are your ideas*. You get to make the final call on what that source is and how you want to engage with it!

Critical sources you're allowed to choose from:

- Jenny Strauss Clay, "What the Muses Sang: Theogony 1-115"
- Arum Park, "Parthenogenesis in Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Richard Caldwell, "The Psychology of the Succession Myth"
- Robert Mond, "The Ascension of Zeus and the Composition of Hesiod's *Theogony*"
- Naomi Rood, "Hesiod's Metallurgy Simile (*Theogony* 861-7)"
- James I. Porter, "Hellenism and Modernity"

Paper #3 Instructions

[11/2-11/6] One-on-one conferences with ALM

One of the requirements for [Paper #3](#) is that you will need to meet with me one-on-one to discuss strategies for your paper.

To prepare for our meeting, you will need to do the following:

- Read my comments and suggestions for revision on your first essay assignment (ALM will send these to you via email no later than Friday 10/30 @ 11:59 p.m.)
- Come up with a plan of attack and jot down some notes:
 - What is your thesis?
 - What evidence will you use to argue your thesis?
 - How do you intend to incorporate the writing advice we've discussed since ALM has joined the course into your writing?
 - What critical source will you use to engage with your own analysis of your work?
 - How will you position your interpretation of the text in conversation with the secondary source?
- What questions do you have for me regarding the assignment?

To sign up for a meeting, please sign up for a one-on-one conference with me below by editing the page and adding your name to a time slot that works best for you. **More context will be announced soon, but you should go ahead and sign up for a meeting on this page.**

Once everyone has scheduled a time, I will send out invitations with a Zoom link.

If your schedule does not align with these days and times, email me at alm14@rice.edu. I will work with you to find a good time to meet!

NB: Please take care not to remove anyone's existing appointment as you edit the page! All times listed are in Central Time (Houston).

Monday 11/2

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.: Matthew Kobrick
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Tuesday 11/3

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.:
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Wednesday 11/4

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:

Paper #3 Instructions

Paper #3 → [11/2-11/6] conference signup

[11/2-11/6] One-on-one conferences with ALM

One of the requirements for [Paper #3](#) is that you will need to meet with me one-on-one to discuss strategies for your paper.

To prepare for our meeting, you will need to do the following:

- Read my comments and suggestions for revision on your first essay assignment (ALM will send these to you via email no later than Friday 10/30 @ 11:59 p.m.)
- Come up with a plan of attack and jot down some notes:
 - What is your thesis?
 - What evidence will you use to argue your thesis?
 - How do you intend to incorporate the writing advice we've discussed since ALM has joined the course into your writing?
 - What critical source will you use to engage with your own analysis of your work?
 - How will you position your interpretation of the text in conversation with the secondary source?
- What questions do you have for me regarding the assignment?

To sign up for a meeting, please sign up for a one-on-one conference with me below by editing the page and adding your name to a time slot that works best for you. **More context will be announced soon, but you should go ahead and sign up for a meeting on this page.**

Once everyone has scheduled a time, I will send out invitations with a Zoom link.

If your schedule does not align with these days and times, email me at alm14@rice.edu. I will work with you to find a good time to meet!

NB: Please take care not to remove anyone's existing appointment as you edit the page! All times listed are in Central Time (Houston).

Monday 11/2

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.: Matthew Kobrick
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Tuesday 11/3

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.:
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Wednesday 11/4

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:

Paper #3 Instructions

Paper #3 → [11/2-11/6] conference signup

1. Click link on Paper #3

Paper #3

Published Edit

This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

[11/2-11/6] One-on-one conferences with ALM

One of the requirements for [Paper #3](#) is that you will need to meet with me one-on-one to discuss strategies for your paper.

To prepare for our meeting, you will need to do the following:

- Read my comments and suggestions for revision on your first essay assignment (ALM will send these to you via email no later than Friday 10/30 @ 11:59 p.m.)
- Come up with a plan of attack and jot down some notes:
 - What is your thesis?
 - What evidence will you use to argue your thesis?
 - How do you intend to incorporate the writing advice we've discussed since ALM has joined the course into your writing?
 - What critical source will you use to engage with your own analysis of your work?
 - How will you position your interpretation of the text in conversation with the secondary source?
- What questions do you have for me regarding the assignment?

To sign up for a meeting, please sign up for a one-on-one conference with me below by editing the page and adding your name to a time slot that works best for you. **More context will be announced soon, but you should go ahead and sign up for a meeting on this page.**

Once everyone has scheduled a time, I will send out invitations with a Zoom link.

If your schedule does not align with these days and times, email me at alm14@rice.edu. I will work with you to find a good time to meet!

NB: Please take care not to remove anyone's existing appointment as you edit the page! All times listed are in Central Time (Houston).

Monday 11/2

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.: Matthew Kobrick
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Tuesday 11/3

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.:
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Wednesday 11/4

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:

Paper #3 Instructions

Paper #3 → [11/2-11/6] conference signup

1. Click link on Paper #3

Paper #3



This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

2. Navigate to Conference signup page

[11/2-11/6] One-on-one conferences with ALM

One of the requirements for [Paper #3](#) is that you will need to meet with me one-on-one to discuss strategies for your paper.

To prepare for our meeting, you will need to do the following:

- Read my comments and suggestions for revision on your first essay assignment (ALM will send these to you via email no later than Friday 10/30 @ 11:59 p.m.)
- Come up with a plan of attack and jot down some notes:
 - What is your thesis?
 - What evidence will you use to argue your thesis?
 - How do you intend to incorporate the writing advice we've discussed since ALM has joined the course into your writing?
 - What critical source will you use to engage with your own analysis of your work?
 - How will you position your interpretation of the text in conversation with the secondary source?
- What questions do you have for me regarding the assignment?

To sign up for a meeting, please sign up for a one-on-one conference with me below by editing the page and adding your name to a time slot that works best for you. **More context will be announced soon, but you should go ahead and sign up for a meeting on this page.**

Once everyone has scheduled a time, I will send out invitations with a Zoom link.

If your schedule does not align with these days and times, email me at alm14@rice.edu. I will work with you to find a good time to meet!

NB: Please take care not to remove anyone's existing appointment as you edit the page! All times listed are in Central Time (Houston).

Monday 11/2

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.: Matthew Kobrick
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Tuesday 11/3

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.:
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Wednesday 11/4

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:

Paper #3 Instructions

Paper #3 → [11/2-11/6] conference signup

1. Click link on Paper #3

Paper #3




This paper will function as a revision assignment of your first essay. I will return your essays this week with substantive comments that you will need to address and/or keep in mind for the revision. Keep an eye on your email in the upcoming days for those comments.

Prior to submitting this assignment, you are required to meet with me one-on-one to discuss your approach (you can sign up for a meeting [here](#)).

2. Navigate to Conference signup page

3. Click “Edit” in top right corner and add your name.

View All Pages



[11/2-11/6] One-on-one conferences with ALM

One of the requirements for [Paper #3](#) is that you will need to meet with me one-on-one to discuss strategies for your paper.

To prepare for our meeting, you will need to do the following:

- Read my comments and suggestions for revision on your first essay assignment (ALM will send these to you via email no later than Friday 10/30 @ 11:59 p.m.)
- Come up with a plan of attack and jot down some notes:
 - What is your thesis?
 - What evidence will you use to argue your thesis?
 - How do you intend to incorporate the writing advice we've discussed since ALM has joined the course into your writing?
 - What critical source will you use to engage with your own analysis of your work?
 - How will you position your interpretation of the text in conversation with the secondary source?
- What questions do you have for me regarding the assignment?

To sign up for a meeting, please sign up for a one-on-one conference with me below by editing the page and adding your name to a time slot that works best for you. **More context will be announced soon, but you should go ahead and sign up for a meeting on this page.**

Once everyone has scheduled a time, I will send out invitations with a Zoom link.

If your schedule does not align with these days and times, email me at alm14@rice.edu. I will work with you to find a good time to meet!

NB: Please take care not to remove anyone's existing appointment as you edit the page! All times listed are in Central Time (Houston).

Monday 11/2

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.: Matthew Kobrick
- 4:00-4:20 p.m.:
- 4:20-4:40 p.m.:
- 4:40-5:00 p.m.:

Tuesday 11/3

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:
- 2:40-3:00 p.m.:
- 3:00-3:20 p.m.:
- 3:20-3:40 p.m.:
- 3:40-4:00 p.m.:

- 2:00-2:20 p.m.:
- 2:20-2:40 p.m.:

Using the Art of Metacommentary



Wait, what's metacommentary?



Wait, what's metacommentary?

1. It's a way of thinking about how you explain ideas:



Wait, what's metacommentary?

1. It's a way of thinking about how you explain ideas:
 - a) Your ideas



Wait, what's metacommentary?

1. It's a way of thinking about how you explain ideas:
 - a) Your ideas
 - b) How you understand the ideas of others'



Wait, what's metacommentary?

1. It's a way of thinking about how you explain ideas:
 - a) Your ideas
 - b) How you understand the ideas of others'
2. You are doing a version of this in the bottom half of your quotation sandwich



Wait, what's metacommentary?



Wait, what's metacommentary?

3. It adds depth and complexity to your writing and analysis



Wait, what's metacommentary?

3. It adds depth and complexity to your writing and analysis
 - a) It can also add length to your writing



Wait, what's metacommentary?

3. It adds depth and complexity to your writing and analysis
 - a) It can also add length to your writing
 - b) This is useful if you struggle to meet the page or word counts for assignments



Some Examples of Metacommentary



Some Examples of Metacommentary

- In other words, she doesn't realize how right she is.



Some Examples of Metacommentary

- In other words, she doesn't realize how right she is.



Some Examples of Metacommentary

- In other words, she doesn't realize how right she is.



Some Examples of Metacommentary

- In other words, she doesn't realize how right she is.
- What _____ really means is _____.



Some Examples of Metacommentary

- In other words, she doesn't realize how right she is.
- What _____ really means is _____.
- My point is not _____ but _____.



Some Examples of Metacommentary

- In other words, she doesn't realize how right she is.
- What _____ really means is _____.
- My point is not _____ but _____.
- Ultimately, then, my goal is to demonstrate that _____.



**There are many,
many other ways
to introduce
metacommentary
into your writing.**



**There are many,
many other ways
to introduce
metacommentary
into your writing.**

**Which is why you'll be
reading chapter 10 of
TS/S for Thursday.**



**There are many,
many other ways
to introduce
metacommentary
into your writing.**

**Which is why you'll be
reading chapter 10 of
TS/S for Thursday.**

**What questions
do you have?**

